

Grade 10 Numeracy Assessment Sample Assessment Student-Choice Questions: Marking Guide and Student Exemplars



Ministry of Education and Child Care





Table of Contents

Rubrics 3 Elaborations 4 Maple Syrup 5 Question 7
Elaborations 4 Maple Syrup 5 Question 7
Maple Syrup 5 <i>Question</i>
Question
Marking Guide 7
Possible Solutions
<i>Examples</i>
Solar Energy Project
Question
Marking Guide21
Possible Solutions
Examples
Dairy Farm
Question
Marking Guide
Possible Solutions
Examples
Ice Cream Shop
Question
Marking Guide47
Possible Solutions
Examples

Rubric and Elaborations

•	© * <mark>_</mark> ⊕ Ma	rking Rubric (Student Choic	e Question)	
	1	2	3	4	
Snapshot*	Student's response demonstrates a limited understanding of the situation. Solution contains an ineffective approach and/or fundamental mathematical errors. Reasoning shows an attempt to engage with an aspect of the problem.	Student's response demonstrates a basic understanding of the situation where aspect(s) of the problem are considered . Solution is incomplete but on the right track ; calculations may contain errors. Reasoning may be unclear or inconsistent.	Student's response demonstrates a sensible understanding of the situation where most aspects of the problem are addressed. Solution is reasonable ; calculations may contain errors. Reasoning can be followed .	Student's response demonstrates extensive understanding of the situation where all aspects of the problem are addressed. Solution is effective and comprehensive, calculations are relevant, and errors do not hinder reasonableness within the given context. Reasoning is clearly communicated.	
	NR No response (answer sheet is blank or title only)	O★★ Student's response Information simpl Diagrams or calc Any answer witho Response does n All work is erased	work described by one or more y recopied from the problem. ulations are unrelated to the pro but supporting work. Not engage with an aspect of the l or crossed out.	of the following statements: blem. problem.	

* Errors in transcription do not take away from the level of proficiency.

** Inappropriate responses (e.g., profanity or concerning language) should be sent to chair.

Rubric and Elaborations

			Elabor	ations			
1		1	2	3	4		
	Interpret	• Limited reasoning skills in determining the relevance of situational information in the task context.	Basic reasoning skills in determining the relevance of situational information in the task context.	• Effective reasoning skills in determining the relevance of situational information in the task context.	• Advanced reasoning skills in determining the relevance of situational information in the task context.		
	Apply	 Limited success in relating the context into mathematical language; contains fundamental errors in approach. 	 Partial success in relating the context into mathematical language but may contain errors in approach. 	 Success in relating the context into mathematical language; errors in approach are minor and do not hinder understanding. 	 Success in relating the context into mathematical language using a clear and logical approach. 		
	Solve	• Limited use of mathematical concepts and skills; solution contains mostly incorrect calculations.	 Basic use of mathematical concepts and skills; solution is missing essential calculations or contains major errors. 	• Effective use of mathematical concepts and skills; solution is appropriate to context but may contain minor errors.	• Advanced use of mathematical concepts and skills; solution is reasonable and appropriate to context.		
	Analyze	 Reasoning or justification of solution is absent or fundamentally incorrect. Reasoning or justification of solution is partially complete; or solution may not be reasonable in context. 		 Reasoning or justification of solution is evident. 	 Reasoning or justification of solution is complete and comprehensive. 		
	Communicate	• Limited use of mathematical language (e.g., graphs, symbols) to express solution, supported by limited evidence. • Basic use of mathematica (e.g., graphs to express so supported by that contains inconsistenc difficult to fol		• Effective use of mathematical language (e.g., graphs, symbols) to express solution, supported by relevant evidence.	• Advanced use of mathematical language (e.g., graphs, symbols) to express solution, supported by insightful or logical evidence.		

MAPLE SYRUP

First Nations peoples were the first humans to tap maple trees and make maple syrup in Canada. Tree trunks were tapped by making a cut and then inserting a reed or piece of bark. Sap dripped through the tap into wooden buckets. Hot rocks were used to cook the sap. The sap was then boiled until only maple syrup was left.

Hupacasath First Nations have lived on their traditional territory on central Vancouver Island for generations. They are among the first to make maple syrup on a commercial scale from bigleaf maple trees growing on their ancestral lands. The Hupacasath are pioneers in the economic development world with "Kleekhoot Gold Bigleaf Maple Syrup."









40 L of tree sap = 1 L of syrup

After visiting the Hupacasath's operation, Brennan, a member of another First Nation, wants to explore tapping maple trees and making syrup on the traditional territories where he lives. He counted over 5000 maple trees on their ancestral lands.

Brennan has researched the costs involved in syrup production. The costs include buying equipment to collect and process the tree sap, as well as operating the taps for one season.

Brennan plans to make a presentation to Chief and Council for permission to start operating on a small number of trees. Each tree will require one tap.



^{*}Additional equipment needed for 11 000 and above.

Cost of operating taps for one season							
Number of taps	Operational cost (per tap)						
500	\$6.50						
2000	\$3.40						
4000	\$2.88						
6000	\$2.68						
8000	\$2.62						
10 000	\$2.58						
12 000	\$2.85						

Answer this question on the indicated response sheet.

Brennan's First Nations community has accepted his business plan and offered him access to one of three plots of land with different maple tree densities. He agrees to pay \$5000 for each hectare (ha) of land he uses for one season.

His options are:

Plot	Area	Tree Density
А	2 ha	1 000 trees/ha
В	8 ha	500 trees/ha
С	16 ha	375 trees/ha

He plans to sell the syrup at \$15/L. He already owns the equipment needed to collect and process the tree sap.

Considering only the land lease, operating costs, and profit, which area should Brennan choose?

Explain and justify your solution. Be sure to include any calculations, estimations, and assumptions you used.



There are many possible solutions; several solutions are given.

Possible Solutions

Components of an Ideal Solution (Mathematical Analysis and Problem-Solving Strategies)

Plot 1: # trees $1000 \frac{\text{trees}}{\text{ha}} \times 2 \text{ ha} = 2000 \text{ trees}$ • Sap 2000 trees × 57 <u>L sap</u> = 114 000 L sap • Syrup 114 000 L sap \div 40 $\frac{\text{L sap}}{\text{L syrup}}$ = 2850 L syrup • Sales of the Syrup 2850 L syrup $\times \frac{\$15}{1} = \42750 • Operating Costs: Taps $\frac{\$3.40}{Tap}$ × 2000 trees = \$6800 Land $\frac{\$5000}{ha}$ × 2 ha = \$10 000 Total \$16 800 Profit = sales - costs \$42 750 - \$16 800 = \$25 900 Plot 2: # trees $500 \frac{\text{trees}}{\text{ha}} \times 8 \text{ ha} = 4000 \text{ trees}$ • Sap 4000 trees × 57 <u>L sap</u> = 228 000 L sap • Syrup 228 000 L sap ÷ 40 <u>L sap</u> = 5700 L syrup • Sales of the Syrup 5700 L syrup $\times \frac{\$15}{1} = \85500 • Operating Costs: Taps $\frac{$2.88}{Tap} \times 4000$ trees = \$11 520 Land $\frac{$5000}{ha} \times 8$ ha = \$40 000 Total \$51 520 • Profit = sales - costs **\$85 500 - \$51 520 = \$33 980**

Plot 3: # trees 375 x 16 ha = 6000 trees ha	
• Sap 6000 trees × 57 <u>L sap</u> = 342 000 L sap tree	
• Syrup 342 000 L sap ÷ 40 <u>L sap</u> = 8550 L sy L syrup	rup
• Sales of the Syrup 8550 L syrup × $\frac{$15}{L \text{ syrup}} = 3$	S128 250
• Operating Costs: Taps $\frac{$2.68}{Tap} \times 6000$ trees =	\$16 080 Land $\frac{$5000}{ha} \times 16$ ha = \$80 000
lota	1 \$96 080
• Profit = sales - costs \$128 250 - \$	96 080 = \$32 170

Plot 2 would bring in the largest profit

Exemplar #1 – Score: 4

Response showcases an extensive understanding of the situation.

Strategy communicated clearly with all work supported by calculations.

Plot 1: D the payment of hectare: 2 ho x 500\$ / ha = 1000\$ O the cost of operating typs: 2 hax / uso trees / ha = 2000 trees. 2000 trees x 3.4 \$/tree = 6800\$. Zooo trees x 57L suptree = 2850L synup. O the protit: 2850L sympx 15 \$12 = 42750\$. 2. 42750\$ - 6800\$ - 10000\$ = 25950\$. Plor 2: Ohectore: 8 hax 5000 \$/ha = 40000\$. @ Operating cost 2 8 ha × 500 tree / ha 2 4000 trees 4000 trees \$ 2.88\$ = 11520\$. DProfit: 4000 erecs × 57Lsap 40L sap = 5700L syrup. 57mL syrup × 15\$/L = 85500\$. 1. 855 30 \$ - 40000 \$ - 11520 \$ = 33980\$. Plot 3 . Dhectare : 16 hax 5000\$ /ha = 80000\$. @ Operating cost: 16 ha × 375 tree/ha 2 boos trees. 6000 trees × 2.68 \$/tree = 16080\$. @ Propit = boostrees x 57L sap = 8550L syrup. 40L sap 8550L symp × 15\$/symp = 128250\$. ·· 128250\$-16080\$- 8000\$= 32170\$. 1: 33980\$>32170\$>25950\$: Brennan should choose Ploz 2

Exemplar #2 – Score: 4

Response demonstrates an effective and comprehensive solution.

Communication clear and easy to follow.

Pl of	number	2	Mould	ke	the	best	option				
ín J	otal pl	-	1 9.0			1001	fl Dannon	(74	1000)	1745000	
Plot	number	2	sives	400	20	trees	For J	90,000	(855	00) 1845	000)
Plos	nomber	3	9 aves	60	00	trees	for	\$ 80,00	5780 (16x	375) (11	\$ 5000)
									tre	es ca	ist.
this o	nmaunits t	s:	Plot I: Plot 2: Plot 3:	1000 1000 1000	trees trees	5000 <u>5 100</u> 1333	dollars 00 dolla 3.33 doll	ns' ans			
at	Mis point	рL	1 4	9irve	s ł	he n	nost tr	ees for	He	money	
hower	er ofer	anng	C024	m	ipact.	s the	T				
Plot	1:										
\$3.	to per drea	ŀ	o open	ate		m	fot al	for	Plat	1 You	Spind
C	-7 3.4 0 x2	-000=	16800 4	\$10000	3	COSHS	for	land	eva.	e oper	arron
Yo	v marke	\$	42150								
	L	7	2000	x 57	1 =11	4006 L	tres ÷ 4	0 L = 2	850L	* 15 =	92750
		(num	ber of tr	ees) [L	per tr	2.4	humber &	F LARCES 1 Strup	*	Pri ce per litro	e
4	2750 -7 mos 6500-1 cost 5950.00-	net m s 7 tot	de ral Pi	ofit	-7	Murs	+ Pro	-1+			

12.88 Per tree to operate	in Johal for plat 2 You Stand
L7 2.88 * 4000= \$ 115 20+90,000	551,520 in cond and operations
You marke \$ \$5,500.00 Cr 4000 \$57=2280	200 = 40 = 5200L N5= \$ 95,500
\$5 500	
\$33,980 -7 total Profit Best	Profit
Plot 3:	
\$2.68 per tree to operate	in total for Plus 2 two
G 2 683 600 0 = 51610 80 + 80000	operations to come une
You monte \$12,8250 2 6000 x57 = 3 42,000 + 1	to = 8530 L OP STINT × 15 = 128296
12\$250 - Gara	
\$32170 -7 total Profib 2nd	best Profit

Exemplar #3 – Score: 3

Initial start up (equipment) costs were mistakenly considered, leading to an incorrect answer.

Otherwise, solution demonstrates a strong understanding of situation.

Plot 1: 2 ha · 1000 ha = 2000 trees
Plot 3: 16 ha · 375 ha= 6000 trees
Plot 1: 2000 trees x 571 sap = 11400 = 40 = 2850 L syrup - 115 = 442.750 - 10000 \$32 750 - (10.26 · 2000) - (3.40 · 2000) = \$54 50 profit
Plot2: 4000 trees x 57L sap=228 cool : 40= 5700 syrup x15 =985 500 - 140 000 39 200 - 11 520 245 500 - (9.80×4000)-(2.98×4000)=\$-5220 profit
Plot 3: 6000 trees x 57L sep= 342 000 = 40 = 8550 x \$15=\$128250 - 80000 55 500 \$48 250 - (9.25.6000) (2.68.6000) \$-23330 profit
Brennan should choose Plot 1, it has the highest tree density per nectare and paired with cost of
operation de gaus de rost plout.

Exemplar #4 – Score: 3

This response demonstrates a strong understanding of the situation. It addresses all aspects of the problem, but incorrectly calculates operational costs by using the number of litres of syrup rather than the number of trees.

Strategy is viable; overall approach is sensible and well organized.

For a score of 4, calculate operating costs correctly.

\$ 5000 for each her tare (hold a lo	ind use for diseasof	
Plot 1. The 1000+rees/ha	1.15/1	
Plot 2 Sha 500 stees/ha		
Plots Ikla 275 trees/ha		
DW11 = 24a -7 \$ 10,000	plot 2 = 8 lan = \$ 40,000	Plot 3 = 16 ha \$ 180,000
47 1000 trens / 619 = 2000 trens	17 5001/ha : 4000 trees	4 575% ho = 6000 trets
2000 x 571 = 114,000	4000 + 67: 220,000	6000 x h1 = 342,000
144,000 L	220 000L 5102	342,000 0 000
40 2 2000	40 6	you
20 50 + (15 = \$ 4 2750	5700 X \$ 16 -\$85,500	8560 × 119 : \$ 120,250
operational 2050 xgb.4 = \$6410	5700 + 12.80 = 16 416	8510 × \$2,62 =\$ 22,401
Corr		120 250
- 10 000	- 40,000	- 80,000
6,970	16,416	22,401
\$ 25,780	\$ 29,084	\$ 25,049
Brennah analid use plot 2	for his business plan, he	will tearn more money with
the plot 2, ther making the	products and paying far the bill	is he will still have \$ 29,084

Exemplar #5 – Score: 2

- Response correctly calculates the gross sales from the syrup from each plot and makes a choice comparing all three plots.
- Student demonstrates only a basic understanding of the situation. Land and operational costs not calculated; as a result, no profit was determined.
- To improve score, need to consider operating costs and land costs.

Plot J		10	• •	* ×	1×	5	-+	40	×	15					
		= \$	42750		1										
Plot 2		ŧ	500	×	8	×	57	÷	40	×	15				
	1		= \$	855	bo										
Plot 3		375	×	16	x	57	÷	40	×	15					
		= \$	128:	50	1										1
	So	Brea	man		need	ch	oose	PI	o+ 3	3.	house	\$ 128	250 2	\$855	00 >\$40

Exemplar #6 – Score: 2

This solution demonstrates a basic understanding of the situation.

Correctly calculated total revenue from maple syrup sales for each plot and operating costs.

The student disregarded land costs which affected the profit calculations. A final comparison of plots was also absent. Hence, the question was not answered.

To improve score, consider land costs, and address the question by comparing the three plots.

Plot 1- 2000	Arces -		2000	* 3.40 =	\$6800
Plot z → 4000	trees -	-> cost	4000 -	2.88 =	11 520
Plot 3 → 6000	trus -	-> COPJ	6000 × 2	2.68	316 080
Plot 1:	2000 * 5	74 = <u>114 da</u>	DOL of top	_	
			40		
		= 28501	of syrup ×	\$15	
		= \$42	750 + <u>5</u> 6	203	
		= \$49.550	Ī —		
Plot 2: 400	0 · 57L -	228000			
		TOL			
	=	\$700 × 15			
	=	85 500 1 11	520		
	=	97 020 19			
Plat s:	6000 >	57 = 3	42 000		

- 0.5 30 × 15
E 12-8 250 1 16 080
= \$ 144 330

Exemplar #7 – Score: 1

Student's response demonstrates a limited understanding of the situation. They took the initial step of calculating the number of trees per plot and calculated the sales for one plot they chose. The equation provides a correct calculation for Plot 3 but is poorly communicated (e.g., include units in equation).



8×500 = 4000	trees
16×375 = 6000	trees
So choose Pla	st 3.
16 X375 X57-4	0×15 = 128250

Exemplar #8 – Score: 0

Example of an answer without supporting work.

Brennan	should	d choose	the 1	and with	the highest
tree	density	to maxim	ize the	mount	of potential
Sop H	not he	can hav	e		

The following information can be found on a typical energy bill.





Solar panels can help to reduce environmental impact and save money on electricity by converting the sun's energy into electrical energy.

Depending on conditions and sunlight, one panel can generate up to 1.5 kWh per day.

Answer this question on the indicated response sheet.

The roof of a house has an available area of 20 m² for solar panel installation.





Consider the following information for a new solar energy system on the roof:



Daily energy production of one solar panel in May

Based on the information in the energy bill, would the roof area fit the number of panels needed to produce enough energy for May?

Explain and justify your solution. Be sure to include any calculations, estimations, labels, and assumptions you made.



There are many possible solutions; several solutions are given.

Possible Solutions

Components of an Ideal Solution (Mathematical Analysis and Problem-Solving Strategies)

Determine the energy use/required in May

• energy required (May)
$$\simeq 450 \frac{\text{kWh}}{\text{month}}$$

• daily energy required = 450 $\frac{450 \frac{\text{kWh}}{\text{month}}}{31 \text{ days}} = 14.5 \frac{\text{kWh}}{\text{day}}$

Determine the energy produced by the solar panels (per day or per month)

- · Each panel has a surface area of 1.65 m²
- Energy genrated by one panel per day (range 1.4 1.7 kWh/day)

• #panels = $\frac{\text{roof area}}{\text{area per panels}} = \frac{20 \text{ m}^2}{1.6 \frac{\text{m}^2}{\text{panels}}} \simeq 12 \text{ panels (range 10-12 panels)}$

• daily energy produced = (12 panels) ×
$$(1.5 \frac{\text{kWh}}{\text{panel}}) = 18 \frac{\text{kWh}}{\text{day}}$$

• energy produced in May = (31 days) × $(18 \frac{\text{kWh}}{\text{day}}) = 558 \text{ kWh}$

Compare the energy produced to the energy required

- daily: supply $(18 \frac{\text{kWh}}{\text{day}}) \ge \text{demand } (14.5 \frac{\text{kWh}}{\text{day}})$
- monthly: supply (558 kWh) \geq demand (450 kWh)
- There is enough energy produced to meet the needs in May.

Exemplar #1 – Score: 4

- Student response shows an alternate strategy that demonstrates an extensive understanding of the situation.
- Approach is effective and comprehensive.

Reasoning is clearly communicated.

Note: A unit error (area of the roof = 20 cm^2) is correctly represented later in the response.

m= 100 cm 65 panel dimensions 22 riven Kusoh k 14.56 2 14.6 to be produced to meet need 14,6 KW.h head perdav Da 14.6 C DIAM 40 nera ppp 0 MON the 2 50 5 m × -Based on the information the Panels Will produce enough eneral needed the as 10 Will Dane 15 month 21+ e eneras Déin 000 0.cen 4 0 IS all assumine PEN CLON the. Caro AC

Exemplar #2 – Score: 4

M

This response demonstrates an extensive understanding of the situation.

The steps are clear and laid out in a logical order.

Answer is clearly communicated and supported by calculations.



Exemplar #3 – Score: 3

- Obtained solution was compared to 452 kWh but no work was shown for some components (e.g., area of roof, total number of solar panels).
- Good strategy but should not be estimating daily production (by "roughly" adding graph points) to estimate daily production of energy.

PANEL ROOF = 20m2 ARCADE SOLAR =1.45m AREA OF SOLAR ROOF CAN 17 PANELS FAT 3 12 x Energy produced perday × B 29 =17 1.96 Kw.H . -12 Kw·h × Δ 4 THEORETICAL MAX DA NUMBEROP ROUGH OUTPU FOR MONTH JN SOLAR MAY MAY FROM APPING PANELS GRAPH POINTS 452 THE ENERGY BELL CLASHS KW.H THAT TK USE Or LY 452 KW. H THE MONTH OF MAY. 729.12 KW.H HITC THROUGH MAY. ENERGY TO GET THAT THERE WELL, INDERD BE ENOUGH

Exemplar #4 – Score: 3

Student's response demonstrates a sensible understanding of the situation. The use of guess and check is used as a tool to find out how many panels can fit on the roof $(1.65 \times 12 = 18.8)$.

Each of the steps in the process is communicated and works towards the final decision. However, the final comparison is incorrect (confusion about solar panels consuming rather than producing energy in the house).

All other aspects needed to solve the problem were addressed correctly.

200 2000 165cm-D 100cm -010m A= 165-100= 16500 cm 1mx1.65=1.65m2 1.65 × 12 = 19.8-2 20m2 (5008 of the hous) one panel we need is ponels to complete the moof May monthly energy usage -> 452 (Kwt) on the bill Average solor panel energy in one day : 0.06+0.10+0.12+ 0.06+0.10+0.12+0.18+0.22+0.20+0.17+0.16+0.13+ 0.08+ 0.04= 1.46 number & ponels days 1.46 × 12 = 17.52 (Kw.h) 17.52 × 30 = 526 Kw.h No the noof ones want git the number of panels needed to produce enough energy, because the monthly energy usage for May on the bill is 452 Kint -D bock continue .. Son a 20m2 stor ones, we need 12 panel in a mont 526 KB-h

Exemplar #5 – Score: 2

Exemplar shows student calculated energy production per day for one panel but incorrectly calculated area. The incorrect area was then used in their energy production per month calculation. The rest of the calculations are correct (i.e., an accurate comparison was made from incorrect calculations). Had the correct area been used, the answer would have been correct.

The response demonstrates a basic understanding of the situation and the response is on the right track. Communication is unclear (e.g., how the value 45.57 was obtained) and work not presented in a logical order.

+ Would not my May = 452 KW/4 enough Power for month of may because the solar Pannels Would make 318.99 KW/h and they 1 CM 15Cd 452 KW/h 1.65m M Panne 45.57/manth 45.57X7 0,00 +0.10 +0.12+0.18 +0.22 +0.20 10.17+0.16+ +0.08+0.04=1.47 KW/4

Exemplar #6 – Score: 2

Correctly calculate how much one panel produces in a month but did not consider energy produced by total number of panels, nor did it consider energy consumption needs in May.

The approach is unclear, as is the reasoning. The student's comparison is not clearly stated.

To improve score, determine total energy produced by the 12 solar panels. Compare this value to the energy needs for May. Make a final determination if there will be enough energy generated to meet the needs.

100 an = 1 m 165 cm = 1.65 m $20 \doteq (1 \times 1.65) \doteq 12$ solar panels 0.06+0.1+0.13+0.18+0.22+0.2+0.17+0.16+0.13+0.08+0.04 = 1.4 KW-h May = 31 days 31×1.47 = 45.57 KW-h/month The roof area didn't fit the # of panels needed produce enough energy for May.

Exemplar #7 – Score: 1

M

Correctly calculates area of one panel and how many panels would fit on the roof.

To improve score, student should determine the total energy produced by the panels and if it will be sufficient for the month.

IN	May	,-Ihe	energ	y 4529	e is	452	Kwih	The	solar	Panel	is	100	ст	in	l enga	and	its	width
'n	165	cm	, of	-lhe	31	days	in	May	during	12:00	+0	1:00	PA+	PYOSU,	ces	the	MDS	54
ener	зу	Kilo	wat	F P	er	hos	Nr.,	T	am	tryi	ing	+0	solve	is	the	oreo	the	4 is
20,0	2 F	i+ +	he	num	ber	OF	pun	els	nee	ded	-10	pro	duce	+	he i	enov	9h	
e ne	rgy	For	M	0.Y.														
												Area	=	Leng	+h ×	w	idf	h
1	Sol	ar	panel	=	LXV	N				1	-				7			
				=	10001	nx 1	650	67							165	5CM		
				-	1650	noon	12											
Cn	, +0	m	1	165	00 C	m2/	10,	000	L		1	0	0 0	cm				
			=	1, 1	55M	2												
ro	of	ha	s a	n	avo	ni la	Ыe	are	a of	201	m²,							
Th-	eref	ore	~	ve	ne	ed	1	-0	N	se	thi	's e	avaa	ntio,	n			
ro	0 F	(1)	rea	d	ivid	le -	the	Me	lers	SON	nuar	ed al	rea	00	= th	e g	5010	r
P	ana	1																
E	sti	norte	-	: 4	iom	2 .	1.63	sm	2									
E	stiv	nat	e =	12	.12	1212	12	par	na k	-	>	whi	Ch	ro	nn	ds	+0	
12	. F	and	15	-14	a+	w	onl	1	Fit	01	h	the	re	op				

Exemplar #8 – Score: 1

Correctly determined energy need, although the number of solar panels needed was miscalculated (May has 31 days in the month).

Insufficient work when determining: (1) the number of panels that would fit on the roof; and (2) the daily energy consumption, resulting in score of 1 despite a reasonable final answer. To improve score, show the possible total energy production from the panels and make a comparison.

May averages 452 WW.h over the month. a panel averages around 1.48 kW/Day so you would need 11 solar panels - 15.06 = 1.48 Yes the roof area would fit 11 panels at 165cm high 1000m wide.

Exemplar #9 – Score: 0

Solution provided with no supporting work.

Do, the	eanels	4001001+	produce	enough energy
per day	because	in may, i	+ uses	452kmin and
i e 👘	ne nouse	Were to	do i+	this way, they
Nound	only proc	acce around	300 KW	. N. It is not
produceno	enough	energy f	or how	Much it is
Vaing.				

The Smith family runs a dairy farm in the Fraser Valley. Farmers purchase a license (called a *quota*) that permits them to sell a fixed volume of milk. A farmer only pays for quota once, and can be transferred to a family member or sold. The value of one quota has changed very little over time. Each cow produces about one quota of milk.



Mr. and Mrs. Smith bought their farm in 1997, which included 160 acres of land (with buildings) and 135 cows. They also bought 135 units of quota, at \$42 000 per unit.

It costs about \$5.50 per day to feed a cow. The cows are replaced as they age so that the farm's overall milk production stays constant.

Farms also use machinery. A typical farm has about \$700 000 of machinery. The machinery needs to be regularly maintained but will continually decrease in value over time.

Answer this question on the indicated response sheet.

In 2018, Mr. and Mrs. Smith are ready to retire and would like to share their assets with their children, Brad and Casey. The Smiths received an offer to sell their farm land and buildings at \$72 000 per acre. The buyers would also like to buy the cows, but do not need the quota nor the machinery.

Brad is not interested in farming. Casey, however, would like to start a smaller farm in a different area of the province. She would like to keep the machinery, which has a current value of \$600 000 and about 90 units of quota. The parents have indicated that the value of the farm's total assets be shared fairly between Brad, Casey, and themselves.

Propose a fair settlement. Be sure to include any calculations, estimations, labels, and assumptions you made.

Marking Guide Question Aspects of a Coherent Solution (Interpretation of the problem and application of logic) Determines the total value of the farm assets, including land and buildings, cows, quota and machinery. Determine the monetary value of each of the farm assets Calculate the total value Determines the plan which divides the farm assets fairly, considering the sale of the land, cows, and remaining assets, while attending the wishes of the Smith Family members. Determine the allotment of quota and the machinery Determine the fair distribution for the remainder of the cash after the sale of the assets (including the remaining 45 quota). Determine if the assets will be divided by three or four depending on whether parents are given one share or two

There are many possible solutions; several solutions are given.

Possible Solutions

Components of an Ideal Solution (Mathematical Analysis and Problem-Solving Strategies)

A. <u>Determines the total value of the farm assets, including land and buildings, cows, quota and machinery.</u>

Sale of the land and building:	160 acres × \$72 000 = \$11 520 000
Sale of cows:	135 × \$2 250 = \$303 750
Value of Machinery:	\$600 000
Cash Value of Quotas:	135 × \$42 000 = \$5 670 000
Total Value:	\$18 093 750 (or approx. \$18 000 000)

Assumptions: all assets will have a cash value; if assumptions state a valid rationale for distributing assets differently, no penalty, but the values shown below would change accordingly

B. <u>Determines the plan which divides the farm assets fairly, considering the sale of the land, cows and</u> remaining assets, while attending the wishes of the Smith Family members.

Casey:	Machinery		\$600 000					
	90 units of quota:	90 × \$42 00	00 = \$3 780 000					
	Receives the difference between he	er full share and these assets \$6 031 2	50 - \$4 380 000 = \$1 651 250					
Brad: No requests/interest in farming: cash								
Mr. & Mrs. Smith	: Retired: cash		\$6 031 250					
ALTERNATE: divide	e the assets between four people:	Cash to Brad, to Mr, and to Mrs. Smith	h \$4 523 400					
		Cash to Casey, after assets	\$143 400					

M

Exemplar #1 – Score: 4

Student's response demonstrates an extensive understanding of the situation.

The reasoning is clearly communicated for all aspects of the problem including value of total assets, and these assets were shared proportionally between all family members using the given criteria.

1'	m z	รรนค	ning	the	t M	۲. ک	nd	Mrs.	Smi	th a	idn s	sell	the	eir	quots		
502	neu	uher	e e	lse	tha	n i	to .	the	640	jers	and	l th	st	way	, the	ey	
63	η.	ge	t t	heir	n	none	y	620	k:		_					_	
	16	th	ey	solo	1 +	he	631	rm	to	the	60	iyer	s -	they	у соц	Id	
	ge	t:		16	0 20	res	× 7	2000	o doll	ars =	11 5	20 05	00	dolla	rs		
					for	13	nd	pl	us	for	cou	15:			(72000.	·160)+	
				t	35 .	22	250	dol	lars	= 30	3 75	:0 d	olla	rs	600 00	10 + 250) =	
				so	tota	>1 0	f	11	823	750	dolla	ars			= 18 09	3 7 50	
	e	aseg	no	bats	Ner	ner	norse	ann	any					1			
	14	f t	he	tot	ઢ	vəlu	ie	for	r tl	he f	вэгш	is	18	093	3 750	dollər	5
	the	en a	ever	y	fəm	ily	me	emb	ev	shou	ld r	ecei	ve	(18 0	93 75	0:4)	
	4	523	43	37.5	dc	llðr:	s.										
	C	osec	u	ant	s	the	1	mac	hine	ry a	nd	90 i	unit	ts c	f qu	ota	
	th	st	15	in	to	tal	ω	ort	h :								
				600	000	+ (90 >	× 42	000)) ~ (1 380	000) d	ollər	s		
		so	i (si	ne's	to	ve	ecei	ve	3 -	fair	sh.	are	e s	he		
		shou	ild	94	24	143	3 4	37.	5 0	ololla	rs	mor	re				
						L	4	52	3 4	37.	5 -	- 4	380	000	0 =		
							=	43	43	7.5							

Exemplar #2 – Score: 4

Student demonstrates an extensive understanding of the situation.

All critical and pertinent aspects are fully addressed.

What I	linow.			
· give b	rad money only			
· Case	1 lepep machildery	+ 90 quotas		
- Parent	s want in two	(fair share)		
· Brad,	. Casey, and po	rrents are to h	ave fair	den 1
Asset	Calculation			1 Value (1)
Land	\$72000 per aire >	(160 aure		1152000
Cows	\$ 2250 per 10~	X 135 row		303750
Quota	\$412 000 per quite	X 135 quata		5670000
Machine		/		600 000
Tatal = lo	and $+ cow + co$	Lusta + Machin	ie :	
= \$	18093750			
This	sharld be split	t amony the	lids, an	d parents
180 93	750 3 way	5 = 6031	250 per	party

Hon	ever, Ca	sy want	s mach	ines	and 9	O quote	۹.	
So w	e need -	to reduce	e that	Value	from h	er mane	у.	
Casey	marey	- machil	ne - q	uota	= ne.	v men	ry foi	r casey
603	1250	- 600	000 -	(q _{oq}	uota >	5472000	per qu	nota)
			=\$1	65	250	cash t	for (a	sey
135 0	quatas	- 90 quot	as for C	asey =	45	quotas	left.	
Beca	use the p	parents ar	e dono	farmi	ny, Br	ad doesn	i+ Wan	+ to
farm,	and (asey only	needs	909	votes, 1	nobody.	needs	to
use	the rem	alning 4	5 quot	as, Th	ere fore,	I sug	gest th	ey
sell 1	he rema	ining 45	quoto	and .	get th	e mont	4. I'u	e already
adde	d this c	ash amarret	into the .	total fi	amily a	ssets,	1	
Brad	gets	\$ 60 3	1250 0	ash				
Parent	arte	\$ 60 3	1250	Cash				
Casey	gets	\$ 165 12	\$ cash	909	uotay,	and the	mail	hlse
Every	one has	e'ither .	the sum	e amo	unt of	asset	s that	have
			and the second se					

Exemplar #3 – Score: 3

Student's response demonstrates a sensible understanding of the situation by determining the value of most of the assets, except the remaining 45 quota units are not addressed. The distribution of assets is reasonably shared between three parties from the total value of assets, including the additional cash that Casey would receive.

To improve score, consider the remaining 45 quota units after Casey has taken her share.

assump	tions:	Mra	nd M	rs Sm	inth (and	Bro	id v	vill	not	tal	ze au	ny
	maich	inery .	of qu	nota,	Ca	sey	wou	ld 1	inte	it	a((J
	the	volue	of +	he ta	rm 1	viu	she	red	eq	Mal	ly		
(and:	160	arecs,	\$720	oo per	ar	ec.	72	000)	160	=	152	. 000	o della
cow:	135 C	ows,	\$225	o ea	ich.	135	x	2.05	0:	13.	315	0	
quota	90	units	\$42	000 8	ach	. 9	οχί	1200	10 =	\$31	800	00	
machi	nery.	\$ 601	0000	2.		-							
total	- 1152	0000+	3037	50+	378	0000	+6	000	00	=\$16	201	750	
Shareo	t: 16	203750	?=3.	=\$54	012	50				-			
Since	: Cas	ey tos	k q	hotas	and	m	achi	ner	y.				
	\$	40/25	-Bi	180000	+6	0000	0) =	\$15	613	50			
So.	Mr ano	1 Mrs	Smith	9et	1 \$50	4012	50	B	rao	1 91	ets	54	01280
and	Case	y get	s qu	notas	ano	1 pr	ach	iner	y c	and	\$15	612	50.

Exemplar #4 – Score: 3

Correctly calculated the appropriate overall value for each person but did not fully answer question by explaining how Casey will get her value (e.g., in form of machinery, quota).

To improve score, include a breakdown of Casey's share of assets.

(7-2,000) (160) = 11520pcc value of the End	2
(135) (2,250) = 303,750 value of cours	
machinery = 700,000	
(135) (42,000) = 5.670,000 value of quotas	
=12,1a3,750 total value of the farm	
18,103,750 = 4548/437,5% per person	
Mann and Rad Brad Cases Keep 9,096,375# Keeps 484 colf 37.5 Keeps 48460437,5 Noorth of assets of the useds (includes	; the
machinery)	

M

Exemplar #5 – Score: 2

- Exemplar accurately includes calculated values of cows and land, however, the quotas were excluded entirely from the calculations.
- Student attempted to deal with value of machinery by subtracting from Casey's share and sharing it with the other two groups.
- To improve score, student needs to consider all aspects of question (i.e., include quota and machinery) prior to division of assets and to clearly communicate the rationale.

Sell the follow of \$72000 per acre but need mathines, also they can sell the cocks and sellit the money they make, casey will get \$600,000 less as she workts maic hinela. acrogiand 72000 × 160= \$11 520000 (0003 135 × 2250 = MAG. IMGS Smith Blad Consey 941250 3991250 3941250 3000000 11241250 peroximate money for everyone

Exemplar #6 – Score: 2

- Equal division was conducted. (In a Share question involving division of resources, equal division is not an appropriate strategy.)
- V

M

Calculation error when determining value of cows.

To improve score, response needs to consider the value of the wishes of each family member.

The Buyers
Land - 72,000 × 160 =311, 520,000
10ws - 7,250 × 135 = 303,750
Total Profit = 11,520,000+303,750
=\$11, 823, 750
Smith Family fair shore
Machienery = \$600,000 +4=\$ 150,000
quota = 135 x 40,000 = \$1,417,500
1045 = 135 × 2,250 = \$73,937.50
land = 72,000 × 160 = 12,820,000
10191 = 150,000 + 1,417,300 + 2810,000 + 73, 937.50
=19, 521, 437, 50
each family member holds \$4,521,437,50 of the farm assession conclusion
they should not sell it and keep the farm

Exemplar #7 – Score: 1

- Total value of assets calculated but did not apply a sharing process for these assets.
- Did not consider that buyers were purchasing a portion of assets, nor the share parents will get.
- To improve score, include calculations to divide assets.



Exemplar #8 – Score: 1

Division does not follow criteria provided in the question and not supported by calculations. No explanation for the 3 million for Brad and Casey. The other 45 quota are unaccounted for.

To improve score, calculate total value by considering all aspects (machinery and remaining quota) and ensuring all parties are getting their wishes.



Exemplar #9 – Score: 0

Student's response demonstrates an attempt to distribute some assets but without supporting work.

Carr	ey or	UIVOI	pay	Brau	184	60000		
Because	71945	H91	tthe	Value	ot	the	machi	ner

Exemplar #10 - Score: 0

Response does not address the purpose of the task. Information recopied from the problem.

offer to sell the land for \$72000 per acre
cows =\$2250
casey would like to keep the machinery that has a current
Value of \$ 600 000 and 90 units of quota
I don't think that the parent's idea is a good one because
Brad isn't interested in farming and casey has everything she needs
to start her own farm in another province.



You rented a storefront and opened an ice cream shop that will be in business during the weekends of the summer tourist season. You looked over sales data collected between the Canada Day and B.C. Day weekends (Table 1).

Date		Maximum Daily Temperature	Sales
June 30	Canada	19°C	\$215
July 1	Day Weekend	21°C	\$327
July 2		17°C	\$185
July 7		20°C	\$332
July 8		24°C	\$406
July 14		27°C	\$522
July 15		24°C	\$413
July 21		18°C	\$202
July 22		22°C	\$408
July 28		23°C	\$421
July 29		28°C	\$445
August 4	B.C.	31°C	\$559
August 5	Day Weekend	30°C	\$598
August 6	Weekend	20°C	\$319

Answer this question on the indicated response sheet.

The students have returned to school, the town is seeing fewer tourists, and while it has been sunny, the forecast is rain. You will use early fall sales data to predict sales of the Thanksgiving weekend before closing for the season.

Other ice cream stores in the area experience an average 40% decrease in sales on rainy days to sunny days at the same temperature.

Graph the data in Table 2. Using this graph and the weather forecast, predict through extrapolation and interpolation your ice cream sales for the five days.

Temperature (°C)	Sales (\$)
25°	\$511
23°	\$430
24°	\$376
17°	\$253
21°	\$440
18°	\$311
15°	\$319
15°	\$217

Table 2. Temperature and daily ice cream sales on sunny September days

WEATHER FORECAST				
THURSDAY	FRIDAY	SATURDAY	SUNDAY	MONDAY
20°C	22°C	16°C	12°C	14°C

Explain and justify your solution. Be sure to include any calculations, estimations, labels, and assumptions you made.

Marking Guide

Question Aspects of a Coherent Solution (Interpretation of the problem and application of logic)

Creates a scatter plot

- Title and labels on axes
- Axes appropriately scaled
- 8 data points from table 2 plotted
- Draws line of fit
- Extrapolation/Interpolation
 - Uses line of fit to find predicted sales for provided temperatures
 - May or may not find the equation for the line of fit
- Determines predicted ice cream sales for five days
 - Lists predicted sales for Thursday through Monday
 - Uses 40% average decrease in sales for rainy days shows calculations
 - Provides the total sales for the final five days of the season

There are many possible solutions; several solutions are given.

Possible Solutions

Components of an Ideal Solution (Mathematical Analysis and Problem-Solving Strategies)

Creates a scatter plot



Extrapolation/Interpolation

· Line of fit equation:

$$m = \frac{340 - 40}{19 - 5} = 21.43$$
$$340 = 21.43(19) + b$$
$$340 = 407.17 + b$$
$$-67.17 = b$$
$$y = 21.43x - 67.17$$

Determines predicted ice cream sales for five days

Day	Calculations	Predicted Sales (\$)
Thursday	<i>y</i> = 21.43(20) - 67.17 = 361.43	361
Friday	y = 21.43(22) - 67.17 = 404.29 Rainy = 404.29(0.6) = 242.57	243
Saturday	<i>y</i> = 21.43(16) - 67.17 = 275.71	276
Sunday	<i>y</i> = 21.43(12) - 67.17 = 189.99	190
Monday	y = 21.43(14) - 67.17 = 232.85 Rainy = 232.85(0.6) = 139.71	140
	Total	1210

Rainy Days: 40% decrease means 60% of predicted sales

Using my line of fit to average sales based on temperature, the sales for the last weekend of the season at the ice cream shop should total around \$1210.

Exemplar #1 – Score: 4

Good labelling of points and line of best fit. Reasonable solution obtained.



Ice cream revenue vs. temperature

M

V

Exemplar #2 – Score: 4

Included assumptions. Good strategy and line of best fit graph.

Response considered the weather variable with corresponding adjustments to profit made.



V

Exemplar #3 – Score: 3

Stated assumptions, which demonstrates good communication.

Graph is accurately plotted, with adjustments made to sales numbers due to weather.

Next steps: labeled axis on graph; line of best fit should reflect all points plotted.



M

Exemplar #4 – Score: 2

Line of best fit attempted.

Some calculations on adjusted sales due to weather but did not incorporate this work into revenues.

No evidence of how data was attained from graph (interpolation).

Next steps: determine weather-adjusted sales and show how future sales were derived from the graph.



Exemplar #5 – Score: 2

- Response on right track, with a line of best fit included.
- Imprecise graph made it difficult to accurate interpolate data (i.e., find values).
- Attempts to calculate reduced sales for the five days.
- Next steps: Use an appropriate scale for the graph to improve precision.



Exemplar #6 – Score: 1

- Attempted to plot given points with line of best fit.
- Did not calculate any weather-adjusted sales data.
- Did not use graph to find values for future sales (i.e., interpolation).

Mext steps: Label increments of graph on the line. Show interpolated values on the graph.



Exemplar #7 – Score: 1

Points are plotted incorrectly, although a line of best fit is attempted.

Axes incorrectly labelled; values are not consistently labeled at gridlines.

Next steps: Create an accurate graph (including labels). Label the data points to show interpolated values.



Exemplar #8 – Score: 0



Answer without supporting work, does not enter the question.

Absence of relevant calculations.



Exemplar #9 – Score: 0



All work shown is unrelated to solution.

